



	³ Department of Educati	on, Uni	versity	' of We
INTRO	DUCTION			
Simon Takes Control	Bilinguals	out of Cor	ntrol	
 Simon effect Reflects <i>cognitive control</i> ('set of fluid operations enable intentional processing and adaptive cogniperformance', Craik & Bialystok, 2006) Faster responses to stimuli located on the same set the required keypress (congruent) and slower to on the opposite side (incongruent) (Craft & Simo 1970) Mean RT Incongruent - Mean RT Congruent = Sin effect (bigger = less cognitive control) 	 that Long-standing debate bilingualism and cognet et al. 2017 for a reviewed at a side of stimuli Grundy et al. (2017) Simon effect but smather bilinguals > better attention Goldsmith & Mortor SCE in bilinguals vs. restance 	 Long-standing debate over the link between bilingualism and cognitive control (see Grundy et al. 2017 for a review) Grundy et al. (2017): no differences in size of Simon effect but smaller SCE in bilinguals vs. monolinguals > better disengagement of attention Goldsmith & Morton (2018): no differences in SCE in bilinguals vs. monolinguals 		
 Sequential Congruency Effect Reflects speed of disengagement of attention from previous trial information (see Egner, 2014) Simon effect is larger after congruent trials, smaller after incongruent trials, intermediate after neutral trials (Aisenberg & Henik, 2010) Bigger SCE = slow disengagement of attention from previous trial 	 Gullifer et al. (2018) diversity in social language use in daily related to connectivi ACC and putamen an linked to control and context monitoring RESEARCH QUESTION Does bilingual la including langua modulate the Se 	Lan Lan life ty d Measu across school, using Si H(X)	pguage E quage E	<pre>intropy intropy guage use guage use eres (home, i) calculated tropy. log p(x;) e, ity, hcy Effect</pre>
	THODS			
TASKNonlinguistic Simon Task	Characteristics (bilinguals)	Mean	SD	Range
 Types of trials 	L2 AoA (years)	5.55	4.49	0-22
	Time speaking non-L1 (%)	28.1	27.29	0-90
★ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	Work Entropy	0.31	0.38	0-1.29
Responses O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Friends Entropy	0.31	0.38	0-1.29
Congruent trials Incongruent trials Neutral trials	Class Entropy	0.39	0.39	0-1.00
	Family Entropy	0.22	0.33	0-1.16
 Prior trial effects 	Mean Entropy	0.36	0.30	0-1.12
	Language Mixing (1-7)	3.37	1.60	1-7
Image: state of the state of	 INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES MEA Monolingual vs. Bilingual Mean entropy (across all s Family Entropy/Time spea (PC1) L2 AoA (PC2) 	SURES	exts) _1	

PARTICIPANTS

- N = 85 (64 bilinguals, 21 monolinguals)
- Language History Questionnaire (adapted LEAP-Q, 2007)

Congruency Effects and Individual Differences in Bilingual Experience Influence Simon Task Performance

Pauline Palma¹, Jason Gullifer¹, Naomi Vingron¹, Veronica Whitford², Deanna Friesen³, Debra Jared⁴, & Debra Titone¹ ¹Department of Psychology, McGill University, ²Department of Psychology, University of New Brunswick, estern Ontario, ⁴Department of Psychology, University of Western Ontario







Work/Friends/Class entropy (PC3)

MODELS (lme4)

 RT ~prior trial*current trial*individual difference • ACC ~prior trial*current trial*individual difference

Monolinguals

Bilinguals

Monolinguals

Bilinguals

Bilinguals with low PC1

Bilinguals with high PC1

Bilinguals with low mean Entropy

Bilinguals with high mean Entropy

SUMMARY

- General results
- Similar Simon effect sizes across bilinguals and monolinguals • Similar SCE sizes across bilinguals and monolinguals

CONCLUSIONS

- Short answer: Yes, to some degree...
- Long answer:
- cognitive capacities.

RESULTS



SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

• Differences among bilinguals:

- smaller SCE
- No effect of L2 AoA on SCE
- No effect of language mixing

• Does bilingual language experience, including language entropy/diversity, modulate the Sequential Congruency Effect?

It is not bilingualism per se, but language diversity within social contexts that is associated with smaller Sequential Congruency Effects Bilinguals with high language diversity within social contexts were better at monitoring and disengagement of attention from prior trial Bilinguals with low language diversity within social contexts and monolinguals were slower at disengaging attention from prior trial • These effects were independent from L2 AoA

Results consistent with the theoretical view that bilinguals constantly monitor and inhibit the non-target language (Bialystok et al., 2009) Results consistent with empirical evidence (Grundy et al., 2017, but not Goldsmith & Morton, 2018)

• Characterizing the bilingual experience might open novel avenues to understand the complex relationships between bilingualism and general





• High language diversity in family contexts/%age of non-L1 exposure = • High language diversity in social contexts in general = <u>smaller SCE</u>

